UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/682,546	10/10/2003	K. M. Slimak	TPP 31413DIV	9719
STEVENS DA	7590 09/27/2007 AVIS MILLER & MOSHI	EXAMINER		
STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P. Suite 850			WINSTON, RANDALL O	
1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20050			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

} P	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/682,546	SLIMAK, K. M.			
omoo nodon odiniiday	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Randall Winston	1655			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the t	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	,	•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7 and 9-20</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2,4-7,9-14 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	*			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate			

Art Unit: 1655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgement is made of receipt and entry of the amendment filed on 07/23/2007. Examiner's 1122<sup>nd</sup>, second paragraph, rejection has been overcome by Applicant's amendment.

Readable claims 1-2,4-7,9-14 and 17-20 will be examined on the merits. Claims 15 and 16 have been withdrawn from consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2,4-7, 9-14 and 17-20 as amended still stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because the specification, while being enabled for a method for a dietary intervention of treating particular conditions and symptoms in animals, including humans (i.e. Applicant is enabled for treating the particular conditions and symptoms recited in claim 1 of Application No. 09/889,133 issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,632,461. Also please note Application 10/682,546 being a divisional of parent application 09/889,133) selected from the group consisting of autism, anxiety, arthritis, asthma, colic, congestion, diabetes, digestive upsets, irritable bowel syndrome, eczema, fatigue, migraine headaches, multiple sclerosis, seizures and rashes comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of tropical root crops selected from

Art Unit: 1655

the group consisting of white sweet potato, malanga, cassava, true yam, water chestnut, arrowroot, and lotus for a period of at least five days to said patient (as recited in claim 1 of US 6,632,461), the specification does not enable any person in the art in preparing a method for a dietary intervention of treating any and/or all chronic diseases, conditions and symptoms comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of any and/or all tropical root for a period of at least five days to said patient.

In Applicants' response on 07/23/2007, Applicants' argues it is the Applicant, not the Examiner, who determines what the Applicant regards as the invention and having regard for the invention as a method for dietary intervention, the Examiner is powerless to make an argument redefining the invention as treating certain diseases, conditions and symptoms.

Applicants' argument is not found persuasive because claims 1-2,4-7, 9-14 and 17-20 still stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the same reasons set forth in examiner's non-final office action of 02/23/2007. Although Applicants' argue the Examiner is powerless to make an argument redefining the invention as treating certain diseases, conditions and symptoms, Applicants' argument is not found persuasive because Examiner is only redefining what Applicants' are enabled for. According to Applicants' specification, Applicants' are enabled for a method for a dietary intervention of treating particular conditions and symptoms in animals, including humans

Art Unit: 1655

selected from the group consisting of autism, anxiety, arthritis, asthma, colic, congestion, diabetes, digestive upsets, irritable bowel syndrome, eczema, fatigue, migraine headaches, multiple sclerosis, seizures and rashes comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of tropical root crops selected from the group consisting of white sweet potato, malanga, cassava, true yam, water chestnut, arrowroot, and lotus for a period of at least five days to said patient.

The factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required are summarized in In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988) (a) the breadth of the claims; (b) the nature of the invention; © the state of the prior art; (d) the level of one of ordinary skill; (e) the level of predictability in the art; (f) the amount of direction provided by the inventor; (g) the existence of working examples; and (h) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

Applicant claims a method for a dietary intervention of treating any and/or all chronic diseases, conditions and symptoms comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of any and/or all tropical root for a period of at least five days to said patient. Applicant has reasonably demonstrated on pages 51-54, examples III-VI of the specification, a method for a dietary intervention of treating particular conditions and symptoms in animals,

Art Unit: 1655

including humans selected from the group consisting of autism, anxiety, arthritis, asthma, colic, congestion, diabetes, digestive upsets, irritable bowel syndrome, eczema, fatigue, migraine headaches, multiple sclerosis, seizures and rashes comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of tropical root crops selected from the group consisting of white sweet potato, malanga, cassava, true yam, water chestnut, arrowroot, and lotus for a period of at least five days to said patient. Applicant's specification, however, has failed to provide guidance or working examples whereby applicant prepares a method for a dietary intervention of treating any and/or all chronic diseases, conditions and symptoms comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of any and/or all tropical root for a period of at least five days to said patient

Moreover, it should be noted that the state of the prior art at the time the invention was filed did not recognize a method for a dietary intervention of treating any and/or all chronic diseases, conditions and symptoms comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of any and/or all tropical root for a period of at least five days to said patient. For example, Slimak et al. et al. teach (US 5789012 see, e.g. title, column 2, lines 33-35) feeding tropical root crops selected from the group consisting of sweet potatoes, cassava, edible aroids, amaranth, yams lotus and potatoes to treat conditions such as food allergies. Thus, the art is silent regarding the efficacy of applicant's method for a dietary

Art Unit: 1655

intervention of treating any and/or all chronic diseases, conditions and symptoms comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of any and/or all tropical root for a period of at least five days to said patient. Therefore, applicant's claimed method is highly unpredictable in the art. In addition, the applicant's specification fails to provide guidance or working examples whereby applicant prepares a method for a dietary intervention of treating any and/or all chronic diseases, conditions and symptoms comprising a) withholding all food for at least 5 days, except for tropical root crops b) and feeding a concentrated form of any and/or all tropical root for a period of at least five days to said patient.

Therefore, it would require undue experimentation without a reasonable expectation of success for one of skill in the art to practice the invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

No claims are allowed.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1655

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER